

solemnly called by name and Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff never against the Defendants \$100. with interest thence from the 17th day of February 1868 till paid. that being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$1000. principal debt due by the Dfts to the Pff by bond dated 19th March 1860 and payable same day. and also that the plaintiff never against the Dfts his costs by him in this behalf expended.

On the motion of Edwin Smith Esq. & Arthur A. Smith Executing of Henry Bonds who sue for the benefit of St Bonds against Am D. Bryant. Bay. J. Bryant & Richard L. Defendants. This day came the plaintiff by their attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendants Bay. J. & Rich. L. Bryant have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff never against the Defendants Bay. J. & Rich. L. Bryant \$37. 52 cts. that being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$1000. principal debt due by the Dfts to the Pff by bond dated February 25th 1853 and payable same day. which said interest was due on the first day of August 1867. and also that the plaintiff never against the said Dfts Bay. J. & Rich. L. Bryant their costs in this behalf expended.

On the motion of G. C. Ferguson against J. R. Rockell & Richard L. Bryant. This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff never against the Defendants \$24. with interest thence from the 17th day of February 1868 that being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$400 principal debt due by the Dfts to the Pff by bond dated January 10th 1859 & payable on January 1st 1860. which said interest was due on August 1st 1867. and also that the plaintiff never against the Defendants his costs in this behalf expended.

On the motion of G. C. Ferguson against J. R. Rockell & Richard L. Bryant. This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Dfts have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff never against the Dfts \$24. with interest thence from the 17th day of February 1868. that being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$400 principal debt due by the Dfts to the Pff by bond dated January 10th 1859 and payable January 1st 1860. which said interest was due on the 1st day of January 1868. and also that the plaintiff never against the Dfts his costs by him in this behalf expended.

On the motion of G. C. Ferguson against J. R. Rockell & Richard L. Bryant. This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff never against the Dfts \$24. with interest thence from the 17th day of February 1868. that being a sum equal to the interest for one year on the sum of \$400 principal debt due by the Dfts to the Pff by bond dated January 10th 1859 and payable January 1st 1860. which said interest was due on the 1st day of January 1868. and also that the plaintiff never against the Dfts his costs by him in this behalf expended.

On the motion of G. C. Ferguson against J. R. Rockell & Rich. L. Bryant. This day came the plaintiff by his attorney and it appearing to the Court that the Defendants have had legal notice of this motion they were solemnly called but came not. Therefore it is considered by the Court that the plaintiff never against the Defendants \$24. with legal interest thence from the 17th day of February 1868.